UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,805	12/13/2005	Brad St. Croix	001107.00527	7620
22907 <b>BANNER &amp; W</b>	7590 10/08/200 TTCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			NATARAJAN, MEERA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/519,805	ST. CROIX ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MEERA NATARAJAN	1643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 €     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 23,24,26 and 27 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 23, 24, 26, 27 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
<u> </u>			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted or b) for objected to by the lead of a cepted of the drawing o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/519,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/30/2009 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 23, 24, 26 and 27 are pending and will be examined on the merits.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 4. Claim 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Porter et al. (J. of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry, Vol. 43, No.8 pp. 791-800, 1995).
- 5. The Claims are drawn to method of identifying tumor endothelial cells comprising contacting a population of tumor cells in a tissue sample containing endothelial cells with one or more antibodies which bind specifically to secreted protein, acidic, cystein-rich (SPARC or osteonectin).

Application/Control Number: 10/519,805 Page 3

Art Unit: 1643

6. Porter et al. teach sections of human tumors immunostained with anti-SPARC Mab demonstrate SPARC in renal cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma of lung, adenocarcinoma of breast, giant-cell tumor of bone, astrocytes in a low grade glioma, and endometrial adenocarcinoma (see Figure 5 and 6). Porter et al. disclose low levels of SPARC expression in normal human tissues. In contrast, there were significantly elevated levels of SPARC in malignant tissue. Porter et al. propose that SPARC expression might contribute to some aspects of tumor progression.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 23, 24, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porter et al. (J. of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry, Vol. 43, No.8

Art Unit: 1643

pp. 791-800, 1995) in view of Long et al. (PgPub 20040214241) and Taniguchi et al. (Int. J. Cancer, Vol. 86, pp.799-805, June 2000).

- 10. The Claims are drawn to method of identifying tumor endothelial cells comprising contacting a population of tumor cells in a tissue sample containing endothelial cells with one or more antibodies which bind specifically to secreted protein, acidic, cystein-rich (SPARC or osteonectin) and isolating said tumor endothelial cells.
- 11. The teachings of Porter et al. are presented in the 102(b) rejection set forth above. Porter et al. does not teach isolating tumor endothelial cells. This deficiency is made up for by Long et al. and Taniguchi et al.
- 12. Long et al. disclose a method of obtaining a population of cells, from bone marrow, bone (tissue), or peripheral blood cells (bodily fluid), contacting said cells with an antibody, and removing cells of the population that do not immunoreact with said antibody (See sections [0035-0038]). Claims 16 and 18-20 of Long et al. disclose the use of an osteonectin antibody to isolate specific cells.
- 13. Taniguchi et al. disclose tumor vascular endothelial cells have been identified as a new target for cancer therapy. Tumor vessels are more suitable target that tumor cells for the following reasons: (i) tumor endothelial cells are genetically stable and do not develop acquired drug resistance, (ii) systemically administered drugs have better access to TECs than tumor cells and (iii) damage of TECs and tumor vessels leads to tumor regression.
- 14. It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to use the antibody disclosed in Porter et al. or

Art Unit: 1643

Long et al. to isolate tumor endothelial cells in tissue or bodily fluid samples as described in Long et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so with a reasonable expectation of success based on the teachings of Porter et al., Long et al., and Taniguchi et al., because Taniguchi et al. teach tumor endothelial cells have been identified as a new target for cancer therapy and cultures of tumor endothelial cells need to be cultivated by isolating them from tumor samples to test new anti-cancer therapies.

All previous rejections are withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments in the reply filed 06/30/2009.

#### Conclusion

- 15. Claims 23, 24, 26 and 27 are rejected.
- 16. No Claim is allowed.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MEERA NATARAJAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:30AM-7:00PM, ALT. Friday. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,805 Page 6

Art Unit: 1643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MN

/Larry R. Helms/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1643